

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,052	02/21/2002	Sung-Jin Kim	SJKIM-002US	6753
` 4	590 09/22/2003			
Bruce B. Brunda STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER 75 Enterprise, Suite 250			EXAMINER	
			COE, SUSAN D	
Aliso Viejo, ĆA 92656			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654 DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/080,052	KIM, SUNG-JIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan Coe	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 h</u>	May 2003 and 04 June 20	<u>03</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	·					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b) objected to by	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		lisapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2.4424.3.413				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>8 and 10</u> . Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,052 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 6, 2003 has been entered.

- 2. The declaration of Dr. Sung-Jin Kim, filed June 4, 2003, has been considered.
- 3. Claims 16-52 have been cancelled.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 5. Claim 1 is indefinite because it is not clear what order the extraction steps are in; therefore, it is difficult to determine how to produce the claimed product.
- 6. Claim 3 is indefinite because it is unclear when this extraction step occurs during the extraction process described in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,052 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

7. Claim 4 is indefinite because chloroform, methylenechloride, and ethylacetate are not alcohols.

8. Claim 11 is indefinite because it seems that the fraction used in step f) should be the fraction from step e) not the fraction from step d). If the fraction from e) is not used in f); then it is unclear what happens to the fraction from step e).

In addition, the antecedent basis for "the methanol" in line two of step g) is unclear. Previously in the claim, in step f), methanol was used in combination with chloroform.

Therefore, "the methanol" would have to refer to the combination of chloroform and methanol. If both chloroform and methanol are used in step g), the claim language should reflect this. However, if only methanol is used, "the" should be deleted to make this clear.

9. Claim 12 is indefinite because in line 8 it states that the solvent's pH is adjusted to 2-4 with an acid and to 9-12 with a base. It would be impossible to adjust to a pH of 2-4 and 9-12 at the same time. The claim needs to be clarified to show that the adjustments take place sequentially and not at the same time.

In addition, the statement "extraction with...a chlorinated aliphatic solvent such as chloroform..." in lines 9 and 10 conflicts with the preamble of the claim and with the end of line 10. The preamble and the end of line 10 states that the fraction **must** be a chloroform fraction; therefore, chloroform must be used as the chlorinated aliphatic solvent. However, the use of "such as" does not limit the solvent to chloroform.

11. No claims are allowed. However, claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this

Art Unit: 1654

Office action. The claims are considered to be free of the prior art because the prior art does not use acids in the extraction process and does not adjust the pH. These additional extraction steps yield a different product, as discussed in applicant's response and in the declaration of Dr. Kim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (703) 306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Susan Coe, Examiner September 9, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER